

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD**

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 20321 of 2004

Between:

M. Venkata Subbamma, W/o P.Chandra Sekhar Reddy,
Occ: Architectural Draughtsman,

O/o District Manager,Kadapa.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Managing Director,

A.P. State House Corporation Ltd, Hyderabad.

2 The District Collector, Housing, Kadapa.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue Writ, Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioner in the category of Architectural Draughtsman with effect from 22-6-92 in accordance with the Government Order.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Mr. C.RAGHU

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: GP FOR COOPERATION

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Mr. V.CH.NAIDU.

The Court at the admission stage made the following ORDER:

The petitioner contends that she is working as a temporary Draughtsman in the respondent-Corporation. She further contends that by virtue of the G.O. Ms. No. 35 Housing (RH) Department dated 10-05-1993 she is entitled to the regular pay scale.

Though some employees who are juniors to the petitioner are extended the regular pay scale, she was denied the same. She submitted a representation to the 1st respondent on 22-07-1997. Though the District Manager addressed the 1st respondent recommending the case of the petitioner for regularization, the 1st respondent did not pass any order. The petitioner, therefore, approached this Court seeking a direction to the 1st respondent to regularize her services in the category of Architectural Draughtsman with effect from 22-06-1992.

In the light of the submissions made by the petitioner that the 1st respondent did not pass any orders on her representation and on the recommendation of the District Manager for regularization of her services as Architectural Draughtsman, the 1st respondent is directed to pass appropriate orders on the representation of the petitioner regarding her request for regularization as an Architectural Draughtsman according to the Rules in force within eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and communicate the same to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of.

(Dr.G.YETHIRAJULU,J)

5th November, 2004.

Tsy

To

1 The Managing Director,
A.P. State House Corporation Ltd, Hyderabad.

2 The District Collector, Housing, Kadapa.

3 Two CCs to G.P. for Cooperation, High Court of A.P.,
Hyderabad (OUT).

4 Two CD copies.